



## *Socio-Economic Struggles and Interpersonal Dynamics: The Fractured Togetherness in Lynn Nottage's Sweat*

**Prof. Hadeel Aziz Mohammed Ridha (Ph.D.)<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of English / College of Basic Education / University of Babylon - Iraq

[basic.hadeel.azez@uobabylon.edu.iq](mailto:basic.hadeel.azez@uobabylon.edu.iq)

**Abstract.** This paper delves into *Sweat*, Lynn Nottage's Pulitzer Prize-winning play, which poignantly depicts the unraveling of a once-tight-knit industrial town as it struggles with economic downturn and job loss. A key focus of this discussion is the theme of "a fractured togetherness"; the idea that communal bonds, once strong, begin to weaken under the strain of hardship. Through its deeply human storytelling, the play highlights how external economic forces can disrupt personal relationships, exposing the fragility of unity when survival takes precedence. By tracing the journeys of Chris and Jason as they navigate these turbulent changes, we gain a deeper understanding of the emotional and psychological toll that deindustrialization exacts on their lives and relationships. The play's non-linear structure enhances this exploration, skillfully intertwining past and present to provide a layered and compelling portrait of human resilience (and vulnerability) in the face of adversity. This study offers a comprehensive analysis of *Sweat*, with particular focus on the theme of fractured togetherness as it intensifies by the play's conclusion. Through careful examination, we explore the complex socio-economic struggles the characters tolerate and how these hardships shape their relationships and sense of community. The analysis, based on real-life socio-economic theory, links the fictional experiences in *Sweat* to broader systemic issues affecting the working class.

وقائع مؤتمر رؤى في اللغة و الآداب و طرائق التدريس في عالم مستدام  
شباط - February / 2026





**Keywords:** Lynn Nottage, Sweat, fractured community, socio-economic inequality, 21st-century American drama.

**المخلص.** تتناول هذه الدراسة مسرحية العرق (Sweat) للكاتبة الأمريكية الحائزة على جائزة بوليتزر لين نوتيج، والتي تجسّد بشكل مؤثر انهيار التماسك المجتمعي في مدينة صناعية كانت مترابطة، لكنها وقعت ضحية الانكماش الاقتصادي وفقدان الوظائف. وترتكز هذه المناقشة على مفهوم "التماسك المتشظي"؛ أي تفكك الروابط المجتمعية التي كانت قوية، تحت وطأة الأزمات المعيشية والضغط الاقتصادي. من خلال سردها الإنساني العميق، تسلط المسرحية الضوء على كيفية تأثير العوامل الاقتصادية الخارجية في العلاقات الشخصية، كاشفة هشاشة الوحدة والتضامن عندما تصبح معركة البقاء أولوية. ومن خلال تتبع مساري كل من "كريس" و"جيسون" وهما يواجهان تلك التحولات العاصفة، ندرك بشكل أوضح الأثر العاطفي والنفسي الذي تُخلفه عملية إزالة التصنيع على حياتهم وعلاقاتهم. تعتمد المسرحية على بناء غير خطّي، مما يعمّق فهمنا للتجربة الإنسانية المتأرجحة بين الماضي والحاضر، ويقدم صورة متعددة الطبقات عن الهشاشة والصمود الإنساني في وجه الشدائد. يقدم هذا البحث تحليلاً شاملاً لمسرحية العرق، مع تركيز خاص على تصاعد مفهوم التماسك المتشظي نحو خاتمة العمل. ومن خلال تحليل دقيق، نستعرض الصراعات الاجتماعية والاقتصادية المعقدة التي يتحمّلها أبطال المسرحية، وكيف تسهم هذه المعاناة في إعادة تشكيل علاقاتهم وانتمائهم المجتمعي. ويرتكز هذا التحليل على نظريات اجتماعية واقتصادية واقعية، ما يربط بين التجربة الدرامية في النص ومجموعة من المشكلات البنوية التي تواجه الطبقة العاملة في الواقع المعاصر.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** لين نوتيج، العرق، المجتمع المتشظي، عدم المساواة الاجتماعية والاقتصادية، الدراما الأمريكية في القرن الحادي والعشرين.

## 1. The Inequality in the Socio-Economic Conditions

Lynn Nottage's *Sweat* (2015) unfolds in Reading, Pennsylvania, once a thriving industrial town now devastated by economic decline. The play's characters (most of whom are factory workers) anchor their identities in their jobs at the local steel mill. As the factory begins to close, they face job insecurity, economic collapse, and the slow degradation of their social fabric. Among those most affected are Chris and Jason, two young men whose friendship, once grounded in shared labor and upbringing, gradually deteriorates under the weight of these pressures. Their relationship mirrors the larger collapse of community ties, revealing how economic forces can drive even the most intimate connections toward resentment and disruption. Chris, the son of Cynthia, and Jason, the son of Tracey, come to represent diverging paths in the face of limited opportunity: paths shaped by race, ambition, and



emotional survival. The play captures what William Julius Wilson (1996) describes as "the disappearance of work in many inner-city neighborhoods," which is not simply a matter of employment loss, but the collapse of the structures that preserve individual and communal life (p. 34).

The theme of "fractured togetherness" permeates *Sweat*, representing the deterioration of community unity as economic pressures mount. Originally coined by McCall's magazine in 1954 to idealize family solidarity, the term "togetherness" projected a harmonious vision of unity (Hague, 2005, p. 96). Nottage defies this nostalgic concept, showing how economic stress reveals concealed fractures in communal bonds. Halberstam's notion that the family was once seen as "a single perfect universe" (Hague, 2005, p. 96) is mirrored in *Sweat*'s portrayal of the working-class community before its unraveling.

The promotion of Cynthia from factory floor to management ruptures her friendships, illustrating how corporate restructuring and structural changes can sow division within marginalized working-class communities. Cynthia's promotion to a management position at Olstead's may seem like a personal success, but in the context of the play, it becomes a catalyst for conflict. Her advancement is perceived by others (particularly Tracey) as a betrayal of working-class solidarity. Rather than empowering her, the promotion isolates Cynthia, positioning her between two worlds: management, which uses her as a token figure, and labor, which now views her with distrust. This moment underscores how even symbolic upward mobility can destabilize relationships when it is not accompanied by systemic change. A scholarly analysis of *Sweat* by Fişek (2019) explicitly connects the play's personal tensions to broader systemic forces driving social and economic divides. The intimate tavern scenes reveal how these changes unsettle the group:

**Tracey:** "It was back when if you worked with your hands people respected you for it. It was a gift. But now, there's nothing on Penn. You go into buildings, the walls are covered over with sheetrock, the wood painted gray, or some ungodly color, and it just makes me sad. It makes me... whatever." (Nottage, 2015, p. 49)

Tracey's sense of self is tied to her job. When it is gone, her identity begins to collapse. Wilson (1996) writes, "a neighborhood in which people are poor but employed is different from a neighborhood in which people are poor and jobless" (p. 13). In *Sweat*, this shift is painfully visible.



Paudyal (2019) notes that Nottage “exposes the economic inequalities worsened by deindustrialization” and reveals how marginalized workers are criminalized through misdirection (p. 58). Tracey’s anger at Oscar, an immigrant worker, reveals how easily frustration can be redirected away from those in power and aimed at those closest and most vulnerable. Oscar does not threaten anyone intentionally. He is simply trying to make a living. Yet when he accepts work during the strike, he becomes a lightning rod for Tracey’s resentment. The real causes of the layoffs remain out of reach, hidden behind corporate language and distant decisions. What the characters can see, however, is Oscar (a familiar face who now represents perceived betrayal).

This is exactly the kind of emotional misdirection the play exposes. Oscar is not taking anyone’s job. He is stepping into a gap left by a collapsing system. But because he is Latino, because he was once overlooked and now visible, he becomes an easy target. Tracey’s hostility is not rooted in clear facts but in fear, pride, and a sense of loss that she cannot fully explain. Nottage does not present this as simple racism. Rather, she shows how economic stress can harden into suspicion and how neighbors can quickly turn against each other when survival feels uncertain. This is how division begins; not with ideology, but with pain that has nowhere else to go. In that personal moment, the larger system stays intact, untouched and unchallenged. Jason’s outburst gives voice to this emotional confusion:

**Jason:** “What... does he have, huh? A green card that gives him the right to shit on everything we work for?” (Nottage, 2015, p. 101)

Here, fear and resentment replace solidarity, as economic instability exposes deep-seated racial tensions. The loss of jobs is not perceived as the result of abstract corporate policy, but as a betrayal, an act of theft committed by the racialized “Other.” Oscar, a Latino worker, becomes the target of this anger, despite his willingness to take on hard labor. As Mohler et al. (2016) observe, Sweat reveals “how easily camaraderie gives way to conflict when survival is at stake” (p. 4), showing that when structures fail, long-standing alliances fracture along racial and ethnic lines.

Through the characters of Chris and Jason, Sweat dramatizes two fundamentally different responses to economic despair, one reaching for transformation, the other trapped in reactive rage. Chris, shaped by his mother Cynthia’s aspirations and his own quiet resilience, envisions education as an escape route from the generational weight of industrial labor. His desire to



attend college is not merely practical but deeply symbolic: a means of redefining identity amid collapse. In contrast, Jason, embittered and emotionally stunted, turns to violence and substance abuse, internalizing the trauma of job loss and personal betrayal. His metaphor, “It’s like a wool jacket that I wear all of the fucking time” (Nottage, 2015, p. 91), suggests the suffocating constancy of emotional weight, a burden that is worn and reworn, never shed.

This emotional exhaustion aligns with what Hochschild (1983) terms the hidden toll of “emotional labor”; the effort required to regulate one’s feelings in workplaces that demand stoicism or submission. In Jason’s case, those unexpressed frustrations erupt destructively, particularly after he and Chris become entangled in a violent act that severs their futures. Nottage’s non-linear structure (beginning with their parole meetings) underscores the aftermath of this psychological rupture, allowing viewers to see how dreams deferred can calcify into despair. Jason’s pain is not isolated; it is communal and inherited, passed down like the worn tools of a trade now obsolete.

Meanwhile, Oscar’s story unfolds alongside the main narrative as a quiet yet powerful reflection of labor exclusion. He is ready to work and even willing to take on difficult jobs, but he is not welcomed. Instead, he is treated with suspicion, not because of his actions, but because of his perceived identity. As Mohler et al. (2016) explain, *Sweat* captures the emotional and social consequences of economic policies that increase inequality and erode community bonds. Oscar becomes a symbol of how fear and insecurity distort perceptions. He is not seen as a fellow laborer but as a disruptive presence. His arrival unsettles those who sense their way of life slipping from their grasp. Characters like Tracey and Jason, overwhelmed by frustration, direct their anger not at the corporations responsible for layoffs and wage cuts, but at Oscar, the most visible and vulnerable figure. This misdirected hostility reveals a deeper truth: economic decline is not experienced in isolation but through the breakdown of trust and belonging. Just as discriminatory zoning practices have historically divided neighborhoods along racial lines, the workplace in *Sweat* functions as a space where social and economic boundaries are reinforced. Oscar’s exclusion illustrates how quickly economic anxiety can solidify into prejudice, revealing the fragility of solidarity in times of crisis.

As economic collapse intensifies, the once-cohesive community begins to unravel, consumed by suspicion and the zero-sum logic of scarcity. Cynthia’s plea to Tracey, “Be angry, but don’t make it about this” (Nottage, 2015, p. 59), highlights her desperate attempt to keep their friendship from the destructive



pull of racial hatred. The gesture toward her skin is not only a moment of emotional vulnerability but also a direct confrontation with the racialized lens through which economic loss is now interpreted. The scene is symbolic of how material insecurity deepens suppressed tensions. What once felt like a shared struggle reappears as competition, where aspects of identity are cast as weaknesses rather than sources of strength.

This moment between Cynthia and Tracey marks one of the play's most intimate depictions of how racial and economic tensions intersect at the personal level. Nottage does not present this fracture as a simple betrayal, but as a symptom of deeper structural forces that antagonize marginalized individuals against one another. As Paudyal (2019) observes, "class, gender, race, and other social factors work together to form and strengthen such an oppressed position" (p. 55). Tracey and Cynthia are not merely old friends; they are co-workers, mothers, women shaped by decades of shared experience. Yet those commonalities become obscured when economic opportunity becomes scarce and social mobility appears unjustly distributed. The weakness of their relationship reflects a bigger cultural crisis: a retreat from empathy in favor of defensiveness and blame. In *Sweat*, solidarity is not an inherited feature but a hard-earned, continually tested choice, one that demands recognizing how the system manipulates personal perception and weakens community bonds. This breakdown in friendship serves as a final echo of the play's central message, that unity, once disrupted by economic fear, must be consciously rebuilt rather than assumed.

## 2. Characters and Their Interpersonal Patterns

In *Sweat*, Lynn Nottage deftly illustrates how the crushing forces of economic decline reconfigure not just livelihoods but the very relationships that define people's sense of self and community. Nowhere is this more evident than in the evolving—and eventually unraveling—interpersonal patterns between the characters. Their interactions form a map of emotional resilience and fragility, tracing how personal choices, class status, and identity collide under pressure. Through these characters, Nottage underscores the play's central theme: fractured togetherness.

At the heart of the play is the complex friendship between Chris and Jason, two young men whose bond, forged in childhood and tempered on the factory floor, begins to dissolve as each confronts the limitations of his socio-economic reality. Raised side by side in Reading, Pennsylvania, they serve as both mirrors and foils to each other. Their connection cuts across racial lines—Chris



is Black and Jason is white—but shared history alone cannot insulate them from the deepening pressures that come with job loss, shifting power dynamics, and cultural disenchantment.

Chris, the son of Cynthia, dares to imagine a life outside the factory walls. He represents hope and ambition, seeking education as a way out of the systemic entrapment that defines so many working-class lives. As he articulates early in the play, “I want more. I want options. I want to choose the life I want, not settle for the one I got handed” (Nottage, 2015, p. 45). His yearning for upward mobility marks him as a rare optimist in a world increasingly devoid of opportunity. And yet, this very optimism isolates him from peers who feel abandoned by anyone choosing to leave rather than stay and fight. As Sugrue (2005) notes, “Deindustrialization and disinvestment created an urban crisis that struck hardest in the city’s African American neighborhoods” (p. 138). Chris’s ambition becomes both a means of survival and a source of silent friction.

Jason, on the other hand, responds to their deteriorating environment with aggression, volatility, and eventually, violence. He embodies the emotional toll described by Hochschild (1983), who observed that the suppression of anger and fear in emotionally stifling work environments often leads to destructive behavior. “The whole time inside, I pushed what happened... outta my head,” Jason admits later, referring to the incident that led to their imprisonment. “Then he was... I dunno, it’s all I can think, you know—” (Nottage, 2015, Act 1, Scene 1). His fragmented speech captures the emotional chaos within—a chaos born not just of personal regret but of a life cornered by structural forces beyond his comprehension.

The divergent responses of Chris and Jason highlight a central paradox in *Sweat*: the same economic collapse that binds people together in suffering can also tear them apart. One seeks transcendence; the other seeks release. Chris internalizes his pain, channeling it into dreams of transformation. Jason, lacking such a framework, directs his suffering outward, turning rage into action. Mohler et al. (2016) observe that *Sweat* “charts the corrosion of close-knit relationships along with the union-backed jobs at Olstead’s” (p. 3), showing how economic pressures erode both material and emotional foundations.

This dynamic is mirrored in the disintegrating friendship between their mothers, Cynthia and Tracey. Initially portrayed as long-time companions who have spent years laboring side by side, their bond begins to unravel when Cynthia is promoted to a managerial role. For Cynthia, the promotion is more



than just a career move—it is the culmination of decades of effort and endurance in a system that rarely rewards Black women. “I’ve taken orders from idiots who were dangerous, or even worse, racist,” she tells Tracey. “If I walk away, I’m giving up more than a job, I’m giving up all that time I spent standing on line waiting for one damn opportunity” (Nottage, 2015, p. 83). Her words echo the emotional labor detailed by Hochschild (1983), who explains that marginalized workers often carry an unseen burden of managing both their own emotions and those projected onto them by others.

Tracey, however, sees the promotion not as a victory for one of their own but as a betrayal. Her response is laced with bitterness and latent racism, a sign of how quickly shared struggle can devolve into resentment when perceived through a lens of scarcity. She believes that Cynthia’s new position aligns her with the corporate forces responsible for their community’s suffering. Cynthia, who hoped her success would inspire pride, instead becomes a symbol of the very system that has failed them all. Paudyal (2019) notes, “Class, gender, race, and other social factors work together to form and strengthen such an oppressed position” (p. 55). Tracey’s hostility is thus not merely personal—it is a symptom of deeper frustrations with a system that promises equality but delivers disparity.

The personal, in *Sweat*, is always political. Even Jessie, a seemingly peripheral character, embodies the emotional disintegration wrought by economic despair. Her descent into alcoholism is both a coping mechanism and a quiet form of protest. She is not just drinking; she is numbing. Her frequent presence at the bar, once a site of communal relief, transforms it into a shrine of grief and avoidance. Mohler et al. (2016) capture this poignantly: “Shared work-related rants and the familiar relief found at the bottom of a pint glass” are ways the characters process trauma (p. 4). Jessie’s silence and slurred commentary are not background noise—they are testimony to a community buckling under the emotional weight of collapse.

Oscar, the Latino busboy-turned-laborer, also serves as a powerful figure within the play’s interpersonal landscape. Initially invisible to most of the regulars at the bar, he becomes hyper-visible—and resented—when he crosses the invisible line between “us” and “them” by taking a job at Olstead’s during the strike. Yet, Oscar’s story is not one of betrayal; it is one of survival. His decision to work is not born of malice, but necessity. As he tells Stan, “I been looking for a job for two years. Nobody gave a shit” (Nottage, 2015, p. 76). The venom directed at him by Jason and Tracey—particularly the racialized slurs and threats—reflects a misplacement of anger that should be aimed



upward, at corporations and policies, not sideways at fellow laborers. Wilson (1996) argues that this redirection of frustration is common in economically destabilized communities, noting that “Inability to influence events... gives rise to feelings of futility and despondency as well as to anxiety” (p. 63).

This emotional displacement is most vividly seen in Jason, who lashes out at Oscar: “What... does he have, huh? A green card that gives him the right to shit on everything we work for?” (Nottage, 2015, p. 101). These words are not about Oscar, not really. They are about Jason’s fear of becoming obsolete, invisible, and voiceless. His outburst reflects what Isenberg (2016) calls “buried perspective”—an emotional reaction formed by insecurity, grief, and a desperate need to feel seen and valued (p. 30).

Throughout *Sweat*, Nottage uses silence as powerfully as she uses speech. The things left unsaid between Chris and Jason, Cynthia and Tracey, even Oscar and the community, reveal emotional truths that are difficult to articulate. The hug shared between Chris and Jason at the end of the play is charged with these silences. It is not a reconciliation, not a clean resolution, but a moment of mutual recognition. As Chris says, “A couple minutes, and your whole life changes, that’s it. It’s gone... What if. What if. What if. All night. In my head. I can’t turn it off” (Nottage, 2015, Act 1, Scene 1). His regret is not just personal—it is generational. It carries the weight of missed opportunities, systemic failure, and emotional inheritance.

The emotional burden that characters carry in *Sweat* is multi-layered, shaped not only by economic hardship but by racialized histories, class struggle, and gender dynamics. Cynthia, for instance, must navigate the impossible balance of professional ambition and community loyalty, all while mothering a son in crisis and enduring the slow unraveling of her marriage. Tracey, in contrast, watches her world shrink with every passing day, her confidence dissolving into bitterness and misplaced blame. Jessie drinks. Jason rages. Oscar endures. Chris dreams and remembers.

These emotional responses form a tapestry of interpersonal behavior that reflects the broader themes of the play. Togetherness, in Nottage’s world, is not given—it is fragile, conditional, and often fractured. When the institutions that once fostered solidarity crumble, people are left with only each other, and even that becomes tenuous. The non-linear structure of the play underscores this by contrasting the past’s warmth with the present’s estrangement, reminding the audience that every hug once had a history of shared laughter, and every punch, a memory of better days.



In this way, *Sweat* becomes not only a study of socio-economic collapse but also a meditation on emotional inheritance. The characters inherit not just economic hardship, but trauma, silence, and the desperate desire to belong. Nottage forces us to confront a difficult truth: that the cost of inequality is not just economic—it is human, relational, and profoundly emotional.

### 3. Emotional Damage and Psychological Impact

In *Sweat*, Lynn Nottage vividly captures the profound emotional damage wrought by job loss and declining economic security on a tightly-knit community. The characters are not simply losing wages – they are losing identity, purpose, and dignity. The factory had given them not just paychecks but pride and structure in their lives. When that anchor is taken away, a quiet unraveling of their emotional stability begins. As sociologist Arlie Hochschild (1983) explains, “Jobs involving emotional labor are held by over one-third of all workers... seldom recognized, rarely honored, and almost never taken into account by employers as a source of on-the-job stress” (p. 3). In *Sweat*, this lack of recognition and respect for workers’ humanity contributes directly to the characters’ psychological deterioration. Each person’s inner life begins to fray, illustrating how economic hardship inflicts invisible wounds that are just as debilitating as physical ones.

Tracey’s Crisis of Identity: Among the most striking examples is Tracey, a white factory worker who has long defined herself by her hard work and loyalty. Once confident and self-assured, Tracey spirals into a crisis of self-worth after she is locked out of her job. Her identity as a lifelong worker crumbles overnight. In a moment of raw vulnerability, Tracey voices the devastation of her new reality: “Do you know what it’s like to get up and have no place to go? ... I’m a worker. I have worked since I could count money. That’s me” (Nottage, 2015, pp. 68–69). In this plaintive cry, we hear that work was who she is – “that’s me,” she says – and without it, she feels hollowed out. Tracey wakes up in the mornings with nowhere to be, no sense of purpose, and it terrifies her. The woman who once took pride in never missing a shift now faces long empty days that chip away at her self-esteem. Her anguish is not just about lost income; it is about the loss of self. As Wilson (1996) notes, when individuals have no control over the forces that shape their lives, “inability to influence events and social conditions that significantly affect one’s life can give rise to feelings of futility and despondency as well as to anxiety” (p. 63). Tracey embodies this truth. Feeling betrayed by an economy and company she served faithfully, she grows bitter and depressed. Her sense of worth was



inextricably tied to her role at the plant; once that role is gone, an emotional void opens inside her. In practical terms, Tracey's days become consumed by frustration and pain – we see her turn increasingly to pills and alcohol to fill that void (an issue hinted at by 2008, when she is numbed by addiction). The prideful woman who used to stand tall now slumps under the weight of despair, sometimes lashing out in anger because she doesn't know how else to cope with the hurt. Tracey's personal crisis illustrates how the economic loss translates into a collapse of identity, leaving deep psychological scars.

Jessie's Despair and Stagnation: The psychological consequences of unemployment extend throughout the play, affecting others in equally poignant ways. Jessie, another long-time factory worker and close friend of Tracey and Cynthia, descends into severe alcoholism and disorientation as the layoffs drag on. Having given up her youthful dreams of traveling the world in order to build a life around the plant, Jessie now finds that life in ruins. With nothing to look forward to each day, she seeks solace at the bottom of a vodka bottle. Her nightly ritual of drinking herself into a stupor at the bar is depicted with tragic honesty – often Jessie is seen literally passed out with her head on the counter, a physical image of defeat. This addiction is not portrayed as a personal failing or mere “weakness,” but as a symptom of a collapsing world around her. Wilson's seminal study of unemployed communities offers a telling parallel: “Cut off from their work and deprived of contact with the outside world, the workers of Marienthal have lost the material and moral incentives to make use of their time” (Wilson, 1996, p. 62). In *Sweat*, Jessie exemplifies this loss of incentive and hope. With her job gone, the structure of her days and the meaning behind them have evaporated. She has “lost the material and moral incentives” to do much of anything. In one painful moment, Jessie drunkenly mumbles about a distant memory – asking Oscar, the young bar-back, if they are “together” – a confused, alcohol-fueled fantasy that underscores her loneliness. Her downward spiral evokes deep empathy: here is a woman who worked hard and did everything that was asked of her, only to end up alone, divorced, and feeling utterly forgotten. Jessie's despair is a quiet kind of emotional damage, manifesting as numbness and apathy. The play invites us to see Jessie not as a lost cause but as a casualty of deindustrialization; her personal collapse reflects a broader social trauma. As the world she knew crumbles, Jessie's heavy drinking and hopeless gaze speak volumes about the human cost of economic decline. Her fate remains unresolved by the play's end – a perhaps intentional reminder that many like her simply fade away, unseen and unsupported, once they've given all they had.





Oscar's Alienation and Invisibility: Oscar, too, faces a profound psychological toll, though his situation differs in important ways. A Colombian-American who has grown up in the same town, Oscar has always been on the margins of this predominantly white, unionized workforce. Throughout the play, he is eager to work hard – he picks up extra shifts at the bar and, in desperation for a better income, even considers taking a job as a replacement (“scab”) worker during the lockout. For this, he is treated with suspicion, hostility, and eventually outright violence by the very community he serves drinks to every night. Oscar’s emotional injury is one of alienation. He experiences the sting of being visible and invisible at the same time: visible as a target for blame (because he’s perceived as “taking” someone’s job), yet invisible as an individual with his own dreams and needs. At one point, Oscar pointedly observes how the regulars at the bar have never truly acknowledged him, despite his constant presence clearing their tables. “They brush by me without seeing me. ... No, ‘Hello, Oscar.’ If they don’t see me, I don’t need to see them,” he says, voicing both hurt and defiance (Nottage, 2015, p. 92). In this simple declaration, we hear Oscar’s years of pent-up frustration at being overlooked and dismissed because of who he is. His willingness to break the union line is born not only from economic necessity but from this emotional estrangement – he has never been made to feel that he really belonged with the others, so what loyalty does he owe them? Oscar’s experience aligns with observations by Mohler et al. (2016) about Sweat’s depiction of social corrosion: the play “charts the corrosion of close-knit relationships along with the union-backed jobs at Olstead’s” (p. 3). In Reading, corporate decisions (like outsourcing and hiring non-union labor) dismantle not only economic stability but also social cohesion. Longtime friends turn against each other, and those at the margins, like Oscar, are further pushed out. Just as discriminatory housing policies once fostered spatial segregation in cities, the restructuring in Sweat reinforces systemic exclusion in the workplace, limiting access to opportunity and deepening racial and class-based divides. Oscar finds himself physically present in the community yet socially and economically displaced – a man eager to work and contribute, but made into a pariah. The emotional impact on Oscar is subtle but heartbreaking: he becomes guarded, asserting that he “doesn’t need” people who don’t see him. This defensive pride conceals a deeper hurt. By the time Jason and Tracey unleash their rage on him (an eruption of violence fueled by their own desperation), we understand that Oscar has long been nursing wounds of rejection. The play thus illustrates how

وقائع مؤتمر رؤى في اللغة و الآداب و طرائق التدريس في عالم مستدام  
شباط – February / 2026





economic loss and prejudice conspire to inflict psychological damage on those who, like Oscar, exist at the intersection of multiple forms of marginalization.

Chris and Jason – *Dreams Deferred and Friendships Shattered*: The younger generation is not spared from emotional devastation; in fact, they experience a unique kind of trauma as their aspirations are derailed. Chris and Jason, the sons of Cynthia and Tracey, start the play in 2000 as best friends brimming with youthful hope. Chris, an African-American man in his twenties, has dreams of furthering his education and becoming a teacher; Jason, a white man of the same age, imagines building a steady union career like his mother. Both see the factory as a temporary stop on the way to something better. But as the layoffs and lockout unfold, even these young men are pulled inexorably back into the town's despair. Chris's plans for college and a brighter future collapse under the weight of the plant's closure and the ensuing chaos. Jason, meanwhile, feels his birthright (a stable industrial job) has been stolen from him. The dissolution of their dreams is accompanied by the erosion of their deep friendship. By 2008, after a violent incident at the bar, the two men have served eight-year prison sentences and emerge as fractured versions of themselves. The play shows their reunion as tense, awkward, and filled with unspoken sorrow – a far cry from the easy camaraderie they once shared. Chris, who was always the more introspective of the two, struggles to process the anger and loss he feels without abandoning his fundamentally gentle nature. Jason, who once laughed with Chris about trivial things, now carries the marks of hate literally on his face (prison tattoos of white supremacist symbols) and cannot easily meet his old friend's eyes. The psychological toll here is multifaceted: guilt, regret, and a sense of betrayal weigh on both men. And yet, in the final moments of the play, Nottage offers a fleeting glimpse of the emotional truth buried beneath their pain. Chris's restraint during their fraught post-prison encounter – his refusal to respond to Jason's provocation with more violence – culminates in an unexpected, desperate hug between the two men. It's an ambivalent embrace, one that shocks Jason (and even Chris himself). Nancy Isenberg (2016) provides a useful insight for reading this moment, noting that "a show of feeling by someone else is interesting... because it may reflect a buried perspective and may offer a clue as to how that person may act" (p. 31). Chris's sudden show of feeling – choosing to hug the friend who once hurt him – reflects a buried perspective indeed. It suggests that beneath his justified resentment lies a longing for reconciliation and an acknowledgement of shared suffering. This emotional complexity defies any simple resolution. The hug does not erase the years of bitterness, violence, and

وقائع مؤتمر رؤى في اللغة و الآداب و طرائق التدريس في عالم مستدام  
شباط – February / 2026





racial tension between them (as the play itself makes clear), but it does crack their hardened exteriors to reveal two hurt young men who mourn the loss of their brotherhood. For Chris, especially, the act is one of forgiveness and grief intertwined – a momentary return to humanity in a world that dehumanized them. Jason’s reaction (“We’re hugging. Hugging,” he says in astonishment in the stage directions, Nottage, 2015, p. 13) shows how emotionally starved they both have been for that connection. The entire trajectory of Chris and Jason demonstrates how economic catastrophe can implode not only individual futures but also the bonds that once helped people endure hardship. Their identities were fundamentally altered: the enthusiastic college-bound Chris became an ex-convict janitor (a fate implied at the end), and the easygoing Jason became an angry young man marked by racist ideology – identities neither of them would have recognized in themselves a decade prior. The psychological damage here is essentially the ruin of their youthful identities and the friendship that defined them. They are left to pick up pieces of themselves and perhaps begin to heal, but only after enduring years of trauma that have left permanent emotional scars.

Cynthia’s Burden and Betrayal: Nottage also delves deeply into how socio-economic upheaval inflicts emotional damage along gender and racial lines, particularly through the character of Cynthia. As a Black woman who earns a long-overdue promotion to management, Cynthia faces a double-edged sword: she achieves a personal dream, yet is ostracized by her friends and even resented by her own family for it. The play underscores that for women – and especially women of color – the workplace can be an arena of intense emotional labor and sacrifice. In an earlier literary echo, Nottage nods to Zora Neale Hurston’s short story “Sweat” (1936), which portrays an African-American washerwoman enduring hardship with quiet strength. “Delia’s strength is not in her physicality but in her ability to endure suffering and injustice” (Hurston, 1936, p. 2), the story notes. Similarly, in Sweat, Cynthia’s trajectory mirrors Delia’s endurance: she must navigate not only job loss and economic uncertainty, but also personal betrayal and the fraught role of being a mother, a wife, and a Black woman in a community riven by racial tension. Cynthia has stood on the factory floor since she was a teenager, bearing both the open and subtle prejudices of coworkers and the grueling demands of labor. When she finally gets a chance to move up – to “stand a little taller,” as she might dream – that triumph comes at the cost of social isolation. Sweat makes it clear that Cynthia is no villain; she is a hardworking, compassionate individual caught between impossible choices. Yet from Tracey’s perspective,



Cynthia's promotion feels like a personal betrayal – a friend “choosing” the other side. The emotional fallout of this rift is devastating for both women. In one confrontation, Cynthia desperately tries to make Tracey understand what she's been through and why she took the promotion: “I've waited for a break. ... If I walk away, I'm giving up more than a job, I'm giving up all that time I spent standing on line waiting for one damn opportunity... I've absorbed a lotta shit over the years, but I worked hard to get off that floor... one of us has to be left standing to fight.” (Nottage, 2015, p. 83). In this heartfelt plea, we hear how much Cynthia has sacrificed and stomached in order to climb even a single rung up the ladder. “You don't know what it's been like to walk in my shoes,” she tells Tracey, and it's true – Tracey cannot fully grasp the additional weights of race and gender that Cynthia has carried (Nottage, 2015, p. 83). Cynthia's voice in this moment trembles with a mixture of pride, hurt, and defiance. She refuses to apologize for seizing a chance she earned through decades of toil, yet she also mourns the loss of understanding between her and her lifelong friends. The psychological impact on Cynthia of being ostracized is profound. She finds herself alone: her girlhood friends shun her; her husband, Brucie, is sinking into drug addiction and drifts in and out of her life; her son ends up incarcerated after the bar fight. The promotion that should have been her salvation instead leaves her “caught between ambition and loyalty,” as well as between competing identities. Academic analyses of emotional labor help illuminate Cynthia's predicament. Hochschild (1983) observes that much of the burden of emotional management in society falls on women: “The womanly art of status enhancement and the emotion work that it requires has been made more public, more systematized, and more standardized” (p. 171). Cynthia is living this “emotion work” – she is expected by the company to soothe tensions on the floor because she's been one of the workers, and at the same time expected by her friends to advocate for them now that she sits at management meetings. It is an impossible task to please both sides. The result is that Cynthia feels responsible for everyone's feelings but has no one to comfort her own. Her promotion is not liberating; it is deeply isolating. She becomes the focus of envy, anger, and even racial resentment (some coworkers imply she got the job due to affirmative action, a painful insinuation that undercuts her legitimate achievement). In Cynthia's strained smile and weary eyes, the play shows the heavy psychological toll of “trying to do right” by everyone and failing. By the end, Cynthia, like Tracey, is unemployed and emotionally shattered – her brief ascent only to fall again perhaps the cruelest arc of all. Through Cynthia, Sweat highlights how economic turmoil



intersecting with race and gender can fracture a person's sense of self. She tried to hold the community together, but in the end, she watches it fracture and must bear the guilt (however undeserved) of being a catalyst.

**Coping Mechanisms and Community Trauma:** In this supercharged emotional climate, it is not surprising that many characters resort to unhealthy coping mechanisms – silence, substance abuse, aggression – in a futile effort to navigate their pain. These behaviors are portrayed not as moral failings but as human responses to an unbearable situation. When the system has failed you and your voice no longer feels heard, some choose to drown their sorrows (Jessie's drinking, Brucie's relapse into drugs), some explode in rage (Jason's violent outburst, Tracey's vitriolic tirades), and others simply shut down. Stan, the affable bartender who lost his own factory job years before due to a debilitating injury, tries to stay neutral and keep the peace, but even he cannot escape the violence that erupts – he ends up grievously injured while intervening in the fight, an innocent bystander who becomes another casualty of the turmoil. His fate (brain damage) is a stark symbol of how the community's emotional wounds have tangible, tragic consequences. In *Sweat*, every coping mechanism we witness is tied to the characters' need to survive emotionally in a world that no longer values them. Even silence is a form of self-protection: we see characters like Chris grow quiet and guarded, hiding their inner turmoil behind a stoic facade, because to express the depth of their fear or sorrow feels too vulnerable in this environment. Nottage lays bare the psychological landscape of a town in crisis, making us feel how socio-economic upheaval doesn't only destroy livelihoods; it unravels the very emotional and psychological structures upon which communities are built. The bonds of friendship, the sense of mutual trust, the belief in fairness and hard work – these intangible pillars are shattered one by one. The intersection of economic loss, emotional trauma, and identity breakdown is apparent at every turn: proud workers become depressed or defensive shells of themselves; longtime friends transform into bitter rivals; people who once felt valued come to feel invisible. By the end of the play, the characters are all, in a sense, fragments of who they used to be, sharing a physical space but deeply alone in their suffering – a state of fractured togetherness, to borrow Nottage's powerful phrase. This section of the story makes it abundantly clear that the fallout of deindustrialization is not just an economic statistic, but a human tragedy measured in broken spirits and broken relationships. And while *Sweat* offers glimmers of empathy and understanding (as in that final hesitant hug between Chris and Jason), it pointedly refuses any easy redemption. The emotional





damage has been done, and healing – if it is to come at all – will require far more than simply getting a job back. Nottage challenges us to recognize these characters’ trauma as real and resonant. Their struggles compel our compassion because they underscore a painful truth: when work disappears, it takes pieces of people’s hearts and identities with it. Thus, *Sweat* powerfully illustrates how an economic crisis becomes an emotional catastrophe, leaving a community’s togetherness fractured and its individuals psychologically scarred.

#### 4. Racial Tensions and the Loss of Togetherness

In *Sweat*, Lynn Nottage intricately maps the social and emotional terrain of a town falling apart—not only economically, but racially. While the steel mill’s closure may be the immediate cause of the town’s unraveling, the deeper rupture stems from something older and more insidious: America’s long history of racial inequality, now sharpened by economic despair. Through layered dialogue and complex character arcs, Nottage reveals that racism in Reading, Pennsylvania, is not a singular, explosive act—it is a slow, creeping erosion of empathy, trust, and shared purpose. The loss of togetherness that haunts the play’s characters is never purely economic; it is tangled with identity, belonging, and the painful way structural inequality can infect even the closest of relationships.

At the heart of this fracture is the character of Oscar, the Colombian-American barback who spends much of the play unnoticed—until, suddenly, he isn’t. For years, Oscar has worked silently behind the bar, refilling drinks, cleaning up, and watching. He is present but invisible to the factory workers who frequent the tavern. “They brush by me without seeing me,” he says. “No, ‘Hello, Oscar.’ If they don’t see me, I don’t need to see them” (Nottage, 2015, p. 92). This line captures Oscar’s emotional experience of alienation—his quiet endurance in a world that never acknowledged his labor, let alone his humanity. He exists on the periphery, not just in the physical space of the bar, but in the social hierarchy of Reading.

But when Oscar decides to cross the picket line and work at Olstead’s during the strike, his invisibility turns into hyper-visibility. Suddenly, he is seen—but only as a threat. Tracey and Jason, long-time white workers at the mill, direct their growing resentment and fear at Oscar, who, for them, becomes the face of betrayal. Yet what is truly being betrayed is not loyalty, but a long-standing illusion: that whiteness, and a job at the factory, guaranteed stability. With that illusion shattered, anger must go somewhere—and Oscar becomes its target.



Oscar's decision to take the job is neither malicious nor ideological. It is practical, even desperate. "I been looking for a job for two years," he tells Stan. "Nobody gave a shit" (Nottage, 2015, p. 76). His words expose the double standard: when Tracey or Jason fights for a job, it's seen as noble. When Oscar does the same, it's painted as disloyal. His struggle for survival is the same as theirs—yet their response reveals that "us" and "them" have always existed beneath the surface.

This is precisely the racial dynamic Nottage explores: how economic crises expose the fractures that have long been ignored or minimized. The tension is not just about who works at Olstead's—it is about who gets to belong, and who never did. Tracey, unable to process the loss of her job and the perceived betrayal by her friend Cynthia, lashes out at Oscar as a scapegoat. Her words are laced with racial resentment disguised as economic outrage: "He walked right past us like he ain't see us standing there on the line. Like we was invisible. But I see him. You better believe it. I see him" (Nottage, 2015, p. 98). The irony is sharp: Tracey, who once ignored Oscar's presence, now resents being ignored. Her newfound "awareness" is driven not by recognition, but by fear.

In this moment, the play lays bare a painful truth: when people feel powerless, they often aim their anger sideways rather than upward. Instead of blaming the distant corporate executives or the global economic shifts responsible for their plight, Tracey and Jason project their rage onto Oscar—a man within reach, familiar, and vulnerable. Mohler et al. (2016) describe how Sweat shows "how easily camaraderie gives way to conflict when survival is at stake" (p. 4). This shift—from solidarity to suspicion—is devastating to witness.

Jason, once an easygoing young man, descends into racism and violence. His frustration boils over when he sees Oscar behind the bar and later at Olstead's. He spits venom: "What... does he have, huh? A green card that gives him the right to shit on everything we work for?" (Nottage, 2015, p. 101). The language is not just hateful—it is confused, bitter, and steeped in a sense of loss. Jason is not just angry at Oscar; he's angry at the world that betrayed him, at the promise of stability that vanished. Yet, unable to articulate that larger betrayal, he lashes out at the man in front of him.

This misdirected anger is a recurring theme in sociological literature. William Julius Wilson (1996) observed that "contact between groups of different class and racial backgrounds has decreased... resulting in greater adverse effects from living in impoverished neighborhoods" (p. 14). In Sweat,





that decreased contact takes the form of emotional separation—neighbors no longer see each other as part of a shared struggle, but as competitors in a game of survival. The mill, once the great equalizer, is now the great divider.

The racial tension culminates in a violent act: Jason and Chris assault Stan, the bartender, during a heated confrontation. Stan, who has long tried to keep the peace and treat everyone with fairness, ends up a victim of their rage. In one of the play's most haunting stage directions, Stan suffers a traumatic brain injury that leaves him permanently damaged. It's a literal representation of what Nottage has been showing all along—the cost of economic collapse is not just lost wages, but irreparable human harm.

While Jason's racism is overt, Tracey's is more insidious. It hides beneath her grievances about fairness and hard work. She cannot understand why Cynthia, her Black friend and co-worker, was promoted instead of her. Rather than examining systemic inequality or corporate manipulation, she interprets the event through a racialized lens. Cynthia, once her closest confidante, becomes a symbol of betrayal—not because of who she is, but because of what her success represents. The moment Cynthia is elevated, the illusion of sameness between them disappears. Their friendship, like so many others in the play, cannot withstand the pressure of inequality.

Cynthia sees what Tracey refuses to admit. In a moment of vulnerability, she pleads: “Be angry, but don't make it about this” (Nottage, 2015, p. 59), gesturing toward her skin. That gesture—small, simple—carries the weight of centuries. Cynthia understands that race has become the lens through which their personal disappointments are now interpreted. Her plea is not just to Tracey, but to the audience: do not let racism explain away suffering. Do not let it replace solidarity.

This fractured relationship between two women who have worked together for decades is perhaps the most heartbreaking symbol of the town's unraveling. As Paudyal (2019) argues, “class, gender, race, and other social factors work together to form and strengthen such an oppressed position” (p. 55). Tracey and Cynthia share class status, gender identity, and a long friendship. But when economic scarcity enters the picture, those shared experiences are no longer enough to hold them together. The system has pitted them against each other, and their bond cannot withstand it.

Stan, in one of his few pointed observations, remarks: “You work in the same place for twenty years, you start thinking you know folks. But then the floor shifts, and you see what's underneath” (Nottage, 2015, p. 81). That is the essence of Sweat: the emotional and racial tensions that have always been



present, just beneath the surface, come to light when the ground is no longer stable. The illusion of unity fades, and people revert to old divisions. The factory, the bar, the town itself—they are no longer places of community, but arenas of competition and pain.

Even the moments of reconciliation in the play are tinged with ambiguity. In the opening scene, Chris and Jason—now released from prison—meet again for the first time. Their conversation is halting, filled with regret and confusion. When they embrace, it is not triumphant but painful. “We’re hugging. Hugging,” Jason says, almost in disbelief (Nottage, 2015, p. 13). The gesture does not erase the years of division between them, nor the hate that once filled that space. But it signals something deeper: a longing for what was lost, and perhaps, what might still be reclaimed.

As Mohler et al. (2016) assert, Sweat “disallows the impulse to throw up our hands in weary disgust... we are not off the hook” (p. 12). The play demands that we look squarely at how race, class, and fear work together to fracture communities. It does not let us pretend that racism is a personal flaw, or that economic anxiety is neutral. It shows us how the two intertwine, creating a web of pain that catches everyone.

This is not a story of villains and heroes. Tracey is not evil; Jason is not beyond redemption. Cynthia is not a savior; Oscar is not a symbol. They are all painfully human—flawed, frightened, and trying to survive. And that is what makes Sweat so powerful. Nottage forces us to feel the loss of togetherness, not as a grand societal failure, but as a thousand tiny heartbreaks: the friend who stops calling, the coworker who looks away, the community that can no longer recognize itself.

In today’s world—marked by economic precarity, racial tension, and political polarization—Sweat remains achingly relevant. It reminds us that when structures collapse, people don’t just lose jobs—they lose each other. And if we are not careful, if we do not resist the temptation to blame the vulnerable instead of the powerful, we may never get that togetherness back.

## 5. Conclusion

Lynn Nottage’s Sweat offers a compelling portrayal of how economic hardship reshapes human relationships and community identity. Through the lens of “a fractured togetherness,” the play illustrates the slow unraveling of solidarity among a group of factory workers in Reading, Pennsylvania, as their livelihoods are threatened by deindustrialization and globalization. What was



once a close-knit community begins to splinter under the weight of competition, racial tension, and personal despair.

At the core of *Sweat* is the question: what happens to a community when the structures that sustain it—economic, emotional, and social—collapse? The play answers this by showing how deeply embedded fears, resentments, and inequalities rise to the surface. The gradual disintegration of friendships (Tracey and Cynthia, Chris and Jason) mirrors the broader collapse of communal trust and collective identity. The tavern, once a symbol of unity, becomes a battleground of blame and bitterness. Nottage shows how easy it is for individuals to retreat into isolation or prejudice when the future seems uncertain and survival becomes the main priority.

By incorporating multiple perspectives (racial, generational, and gendered) *Sweat* transcends simple narratives of loss and instead presents a nuanced, layered understanding of working-class life. Yet, *Sweat* is not entirely devoid of hope. The final image of Chris and Jason's hesitant hug is filled with ambiguity, but it also suggests the lingering possibility of reconnection. Though damaged, their bond is not erased. This moment embodies the play's broader message: that togetherness, while fragile, is not beyond repair. But repair requires effort, self-awareness, and a commitment to empathy over fear.

In our contemporary moment—marked by widening inequality, political polarization, and global uncertainty—*Sweat* remains strikingly relevant. It reminds us that economic policies are not abstract—they shape lives, fracture relationships, and define futures. Most importantly, it calls on us to recognize and resist the forces that divide us, and to rebuild the bonds of community before they disappear entirely.

### References

- [1] Cole, E. R., & Omari, S. R. (2003). Race, class and the dilemmas of upward mobility for African Americans. *Journal of Social Issues*, 59(4), 785–802.
- [2] Fişek, E. (2019). The deindustrial generation: Memory, biography, and the body in Lynn Nottage's *Sweat*. *Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 37, 97–109.
- [3] Hague, A. (2005). A faithful anatomy of our times: Reassuring Shirley Jackson. *Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies*, 26(2), 73–96.
- [4] Hochschild, A. R. (1983). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling*. University of California Press.
- [5] Hurston, Z. N. (1936). "Sweat". In *The Oxford Book of American Short*





Stories (later reprinted editions).

- [6] Isenberg, N. (2016). White trash: The 400-year untold history of class in America. Penguin Books.
- [7] Mohler, C., McMahon, C., & Román, D. (2016). Three readings of Reading, Pennsylvania: Approaching Lynn Nottage's Sweat and Douglas Carter Beane's Shows for Days. *Scholarship and Professional Work – Arts*, 19.
- [8] Nottage, L. (2015). Sweat. Theatre Communications Group.
- [9] Paudyal, J. (2019). Compounded oppressions and intersections of identities in Nottage's Sweat. *Bon Voyage: A Peer-Reviewed Journal of English Studies*, 4(1), 55–65.
- [10] Sugrue, T. J. (2005). The origins of the urban crisis: Race and inequality in postwar Detroit. Princeton University Press.
- [11] Wilson, W. J. (1996). When work disappears: The world of the new urban poor. Vintage Books.

